

3.

Date: Wed, 6 Nov 2019 11:37:40 -0300

Subject: Re: [LACNIC/Políticas] policy manual section 2.3.2.18 - clarification needed

From: Fernando Frediani <fhfrediani@gmail.com>

To: politicas@lacnic.net

It's not fine probably for the type of business that IPv4 market represent, but other people like me, not just in this RIR think otherwise that the policy list should work to build policies of the interest of the community and not just of a few.

I consider the RSA is correct and should be kept as it is in this regards, the need for the recipient to justify is something quiet obvious and trying to change it is a distortion to whole concept of IP assignment. Intentionally unused space left only to "gain value" should be revoked, even if that is bad for the IPv4 market that earns more with it. Regarding the last point, why the RIR should work for the IPv4 market companies informing people that they can sell instead ? It is not their function and it not of the interest of the whole community which the RIR works for that to happen.

I cannot agree with such distortion of things in recent times just because this is beneficial to a few actors.

Fernando

On 04/11/2019 13:06, Mike Burns wrote:

> Hi Sergio,

>

> Thanks, I had forgotten that you can get a pre-approval in Lacnic.

> Probably because that information is sort of hidden behind the requirement to login to milacnic.

>

> Per another comment in this thread, the Lacnic transfer market is not fine by any measure.

> Some steps that might be taken to improve things:

>

> 1. Remove revocation threat for utilization from policy and RSA

> 2. Implement inter-RIR transfers

> 3. Don't ask the reason for the transfer

> 4. Put the broker list on the public website where people can find it when they search

> 5. Clearly inform the community that selling unused addresses is completely legitimate

> 6. Inform those whose addresses are due to be revoked that they have an option to sell them instead

>

>
> These policies are standard at the other trading RIRs.
>
> Regards,
> Mike Burns
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Politicas <politicas-bounces@lacnic.net> On Behalf Of Sergio Rojas. . .
> Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 6:40 AM
> To: 'Lista para discusion de politicas de la comunidad de LACNIC'
<politicas@lacnic.net>
> Subject: Re: [LACNIC/Politicas] policy manual section 2.3.2.18 - clarification needed
>
> Hi Everyone, Hola a todos
>
> In regarding to the firs paragraph Mike mentioned, there is a service as
> well in LACNIC region called "List of possible IPv4 transfers", where
> the receiving organization can be pre-approved base on its
> justification. For more information:
> <https://www.lacnic.net/2733/2/lacnic/list-of-possible-ipv4-transfers>
>
> #espa?ol
> En relaci?n al primer p?rrafo mencionado por Mike, tambi?n en LACNIC
> contamos con un servicio llamado "Lista de posibles transferencias
> IPv4", donde la organizaci?n receptora puede ser preaprobada en base a
> la justificaci?n enviada. Por mas informaci?n:
> <https://www.lacnic.net/2732/1/lacnic/lista-de-posibles-transferencias-ipv4>
>
> #portugues
> Em rela??o ao primeiro par?grafo mencionado por Mike, tamb?m em LACNIC
> contamos com um servi?o chamado "Lista de possiveis transfer?ncias
> IPv4", onde a organiza??o receptora pode ser preaprovada em base ?
> justifica??o enviada. Por mais informa??o:
> <https://www.lacnic.net/1057/3/lacnic/transfer%C3%AAncia-de-recursos>
>
> Saludos,
>
> Sergio Rojas. . .
>
> El 1/11/19 a las 17:27, Mike Burns escribi?:
>> Hi Jordi,
>>
>> In every RIR the seller initiates the transfer request with the RIR, but buyers can
>> become pre-approved by the RIR prior to the sale in ARIN and APNIC.
>>
>> It doesn't work the way you said, with multiple sellers of all sizes lined up to meet
>> whatever need is determined.

>>

>> I am very grateful to Sergio and the LACNIC staff for clearly describing the freedom LACNIC holders have to transfer idle space. And I hope those who enter the LACNIC market can do so with confidence that LACNIC will not revoke their space if they make a wrong move.

>>

>> Regards,

>> Mike

>> My understanding is that if the deal is for example to transfer a /16, if the buyer doesn't justify the need for that /16, and only justify the need for a /18, the deal price is totally different, and there may be interest in looking for an alternative seller.